

RESOLUTION 2018-01
A RESOLUTION OF THE BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER TO CONFIRM AND
ADOPT SAN GORGONIO PASS WATER AGENCY'S ("SGPWA") APPLICATION
FOR GROUNDWATER STORAGE AGREEMENT, SUBJECT TO STATED
CONDITIONS

WHEREAS, the Stipulated Judgment establishing the Beaumont Basin Watermaster (Riverside Superior Court Case No. 389197) empowers the Beaumont Basin Watermaster to adopt appropriate rules and regulations for the conduct of Watermaster affairs; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to its authority, the Beaumont Basin Watermaster established principles of groundwater storage in the Beaumont Basin via Resolution No. 2005-01, the foundation for SGPWA's Application for Groundwater Storage Agreement;

WHEREAS, SGPWA is a state water contractor formed in 1961 for the primary purpose of importing water from the State Water Project into the San Gorgonio Pass. SGPWA's service area includes the Beaumont Basin;

WHEREAS, SGPWA submitted to the Beaumont Basin Watermaster a Groundwater Storage Application, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "A," hereto, seeking approval to, subject to all applicable law: i) store up to 10,000 acre-feet of water in the Beaumont Basin; ii) add water to the SGPWA's Storage Account when the quantity of imported water available to the Region exceeds the annual orders for imported water submitted to SGPWA; and, iii) make water stored in the Beaumont Basin by the SGPWA available to the members of the Beaumont Basin Watermaster.

WHEREAS, the Beaumont Basin Watermaster issued copies of SGPWA's Groundwater Storage Application to members of its Watermaster Committee for review in advance of the February 7, 2018, Beaumont Basin Watermaster meeting; and,

WHEREAS, the Beaumont Basin Watermaster met on February 7, 2018 to take this matter up, finding that the foregoing is true and accurate, and;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER that it does hereby rescind approval of Watermaster Resolution No. 2017-01, accept SGPWA's Groundwater Storage Application and does hereby grant SGPWA a water storage account pursuant to SGPWA's Groundwater Storage Application, subject to the conditions set forth in this Resolution, and subject to the Judgment establishing the Beaumont Basin Watermaster (Riverside Superior Court Case No. 389197), its rules and regulations for the Beaumont Basin – to include– coordination with the San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency and Other Agencies--a classification applying to SGPWA.

///

///

///

///

///

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 7th day of February, 2018.

BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER

By: 

Art Vela, Chairman of the
Beaumont Basin Watermaster

EXHIBIT A



San Geronio Pass Water Agency

A California State Water Project Contractor
1210 Beaumont Avenue • Beaumont, CA 92223
Phone (951) 845-2577 • Fax (951) 845-0281

March 14, 2016

President:
John Jeter

Mr. Hanibal Blandon
Alda Engineering
5528 Vineyard Avenue
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

Vice President:
Bill Dickson

Dear Mr. Blandon:

Treasurer:
Mary Ann Melleby

Enclosed please find six copies of an application for a Beaumont Basin Watermaster storage account on behalf of the San Geronio Pass Water Agency. The application itself carries the "DRAFT" designation because we could not find a copy of the application on the Watermaster web site that did not include this.

Directors:
Blair Ball
Ron Duncan
David Fenn
Leonard Stephenson

The Agency has endeavored to provide as complete an application as possible. For the wells in the vicinity, we endeavored to garner as much water level and water quality data as was available from all well owners. We have provided six copies of the application package—one for each member of the Watermaster Board and one for yourself. If you require additional copies, please let me know.

**General Manager
& Chief Engineer:**
Jeff Davis, PE

I would be happy to answer any questions regarding this application from yourself or the Watermaster Board. Please place this item on the agenda for the next available Watermaster meeting.

Legal Counsel:
Jeffrey Ferre
Best Best & Krieger

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffrey W. Davis".

**BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER
APPLICATION
FOR
GROUNDWATER STORAGE AGREEMENT**

1.- APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name of Applicant: San Geronimo Pass Water Agency
Address for Notice: 1210 Beaumont Ave, Beaumont 92223
Contact Name: Jeff Davis
Title: General Manager
Telephone: 951-945-2577
Fax: 951-945-0281
E-mail Address: jdavis@sgpwa.com
Date of Application: _____

For Staff Use Only	
Date Requested:	_____
Date Approved:	_____
Amount Requested:	_____ ac-ft
Amount Approved:	_____ ac-ft
Agreement No.	_____
Yes [] - No []	Analysis and Written Summary Fee Collected

2.- PROJECT DESCRIPTION – Provide a general description of the groundwater storage project sought under this application including potential impacts and benefits. (Use additional pages if necessary).

See attached

THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY WATERMASTER;
APPLICANT IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE WATERMASTER WITH COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION

3.- AMOUNT REQUESTED: 10,600 acre feet.

4.- PURPOSE OF STORAGE

- ☐ Stabilize or reduce future water cost / assessments
- ☒ Facilitate utilization of other available sources of supply
- ☐ Facilitate replenishment under certain well sites
- ☐ Preserve pumping right for a changed future potential use
- ☐ Other, explain _____

5.- METHOD OF PLACEMENT IN STORAGE

- ☒ Artificial Recharge
- ☐ Transfer of Water from One Storage Account to Another Storage Account (If checked, proceed to No. 16 below)

6.- SOURCE OF WATER FOR RECHARGE

- ☒ State Water Project ☐ Colorado River
- ☐ Captured Storm Water ☐ Recycled Water

☒ Other, explain Other supplemental water, equal or better in quality than SWP water.

Has any portion of the water proposed for storage been characterized as reclaimed water, production from the Beaumont Basin, production from another basin, or in any way claimed as part of a water right or entitlement of any other person or entity? Yes ☐ - No ☒ If YES, please explain in detail.

THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY WATERMASTER;
APPLICANT IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE WATERMASTER WITH COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION

3551398.1 -- N1316.1

7.- RECHARGE SOURCE WATER QUALITY – Provide a copy of the latest full Title 22 drinking water analysis report documenting the quality of water to be stored as Attachment A to this Application. *See attached.*

8.- METHOD OF RECHARGE

- ☒ Surface Spreading Basin(s)
☐ Injection Well(s)

9.- METHOD OF CONVEYANCE FROM SOURCE TO RECHARGE FACILITY

- ☐ Open Unlined Channel
☐ Open Lined Channel
☒ Pipeline

10.- LOCATION VICINITY MAP – Include as an Attachment B to this Application a project location map at a scale of 1-inch = 2,000 ft or larger. Map shall include, as a minimum, the following, where applicable: *See attached.*

- ✓ Proposed recharge facilities
- ✓ Existing production, monitoring, and abandoned wells within one mile of project site
- ✓ Existing or proposed raw water conveyance facilities
- ✓ Existing creeks and other water features

11.- CURRENT GROUNDWATER LEVELS – Provide quantitative 5-yr history of static (non-pumping) groundwater levels in the vicinity of proposed storage location. Include groundwater level hydrographs for two or more existing wells located down-gradient of recharge site and within a one-mile radius of proposed storage site. Attach responses as Attachment C to this Application. *See attached.*

12.- CURRENT GROUNDWATER QUALITY – Provide quantitative description of current groundwater quality conditions in the vicinity of proposed storage location including water quality trends for TDS and Nitrate over the last five years. Include copies of the most recent drinking water quality reports for two or more existing wells located down-gradient of recharge site and within a one-mile radius of proposed storage site. Attach responses as Attachment D to this Application. *See attached.*

THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY WATERMASTER. APPLICANT IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE WATERMASTER WITH COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION

- 13.- WATER QUANTITY – Provide an estimate of the quantity of water to be stored on an annual basis including estimates for maximum and minimum annual amounts. (Provide attachments to this Application as Attachment E for full response as necessary)

See attached

- 14.- IMPACTS TO OTHERS – Describe in detail any potential positive/negative impacts to any party to the Stipulated Judgment or any person, entity or property located within or outside the Beaumont Basin that may result from the implementation of this project. (Provide attachments to this Application as Attachment F for full response as necessary)

See attached

- 15.- ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW – Indicate whether the proposed water storage operation is subject to review under the California Environmental Quality Act? If so, describe the means of CEQA compliance and attach environmental review documentation and any responsive written review as Attachment G to this Application. If not, identify the basis for non-application and/or exemption.

See attached resolution and CD.

THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY WATERMASTER;
APPLICANT IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE WATERMASTER WITH COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION

35533928.1 -- N1336.1

16.- TRANSFERS OF WATER FROM ONE STORAGE ACCOUNT TO ANOTHER

From: See attached.

To: _____

17. – CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY WATERMASTER RESOLUTION 2005-01 – If the Applicant is not an Appropriator pursuant to Exhibit C of the 2004 Stipulated Judgment in Riverside Superior Court Case No. RIC 389197 that created Watermaster, provide a complete, narrative response to each of the criteria identified in Section 2 Preferred Groundwater Storage and Section 3 Types of Groundwater Storage Programs of Watermaster Resolution No. 2005-01, which can be found on the website: www.beaumontbasinwatermaster.org under the tab "Documents & Publications" (Provide attachments to this Application as Attachment H for full response as necessary)

See attached.

THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY WATERMASTER
 APPLICANT IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE WATERMASTER WITH COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION

18. LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Required Attachments

- A.- Complete Title 22 Drinking Water Analysis (Per Section 7)
- B.- Vicinity Map – Minimum Scale: 1"=2,000 ft (Per Section 10)
- C.- 5-year history of static water levels in the vicinity of project recharge facilities (Per Section 11)
- D.- Current groundwater quality in the vicinity of project recharge facilities (Per Section 12)
- E.- Annual estimates of water to be recharged (Per Section 13)
- F.- Description of positive or negative impacts resulting from project implementation (Per Section 14)
- G.- Environmental Review Documentation (Per Section 15)

Additional Attachments (as Applicable and/or Necessary)

- H.- Watermaster Resolution No. 2005-01 Supporting Documentation (Per Section 17)
- I.- _____
- J.- _____
- K.- _____
- L.- _____
- M.- _____
- N.- _____

Beaumont Basin Watermaster
Application for Groundwater Storage Agreement
San Geronio Pass Water Agency
Supplemental Information

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The San Geronio Pass Water Agency's Beaumont Avenue Recharge Facility is a planned conjunctive use facility located at the southwest corner of Beaumont Avenue and Brookside Avenue in Beaumont. The planned facility consists of five recharge ponds, an approximately 8000 foot long pipeline, and a 20-cfs connection to the East Branch Extension.

The Agency is constructing the facility in order to be able to take advantage of the additional water available through the State Water Project in wet years. Its planned operation would be for the Agency to purchase water in wet years that would or could not be purchased by local retail agencies, or that is purchased by a retail water agency that does not have access to a recharge facility. For water that is purchased by others, it would go directly into their storage account. For any water purchased by the Agency, whether it is Table A water, Article 21 water, or any other type of water, it would be placed into the Agency's proposed storage account, to be later purchased in situ by a local retail water agency that has its own storage account. In this case, purchase of the water from the Agency would be a transfer of the water from the Agency's storage account to the retail water agency's storage account.

The Agency does not own or operate any extraction facilities, so the Agency would not extract any of the water from its storage account. The sole purpose of the storage account would be to temporarily store water purchased by the Agency until it is purchased by (transferred to) a local retail water agency that has a storage account in the Beaumont Basin.

The Agency foresees no negative impacts on the Beaumont Basin or any member of the Watermaster through the construction and operation of this facility. Rather, it increases the ability of Watermaster and its members to bring supplemental water into the basin. With the completion of Phase 2 of the East Branch Extension, the Agency will have 64 cfs of capacity to import water to the region. At the present time only 20 cfs can be removed from the pipeline for beneficial use as groundwater recharge. Thus, additional connected capacity is required in order to take advantage of the additional carrying capacity being constructed in Phase 2 of the East Branch Extension.

3. AMOUNT REQUESTED

At this time, the Agency is only requesting 10,000 AF for its storage account. If at some point in the future it would be beneficial to the region to increase this volume, the Agency would at that time apply to the Watermaster to increase the volume of the storage account.

The Agency feels that 10,000 should be sufficient for the immediate future, as it is expected that any water purchased by the Agency for its storage account would be purchased very shortly thereafter by a member of the Watermaster. Thus, water is not expected to stay in the account for long.

4. PURPOSE OF STORAGE

The overall purpose of the storage is to augment the total storage in the basin. A secondary purpose is to facilitate utilization of other available sources of supply, such as Article 21 water or other water sources that the Agency may import to the region.

5. METHOD OF PLACEMENT IN STORAGE

See Application

6. SOURCE OF WATER FOR RECHARGE

See Application

7. RECHARGE SOURCE WATER QUALITY

Even though the water will not be used for potable purposes, attached is a Title 22 water quality analysis on water from Silverwood Lake, which is the source of the water that will be recharged in this proposed facility (it is also the source for all water delivered by the Agency to Watermaster members). The data is for 2004 through 2013 and is derived from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

The data indicate that the source water is equal to or better than ambient basin water quality.

8. METHOD OF RECHARGE

See Application

9. METHOD OF CONVEYANCE FROM SOURCE TO RECHARGE FACILITY

See Application.

10. LOCATION VICINITY MAP

See attached map.

11. CURRENT GROUNDWATER LEVELS

See attached Excel spread sheet, which includes all available groundwater level data for the six selected wells from 2009 through 2014.

12. CURRENT GROUNDWATER QUALITY

See attached Excel spread sheet, which includes nitrate and TDS data available from the six selected wells from 2009 through 2015.

13. WATER QUANTITY (Attachment E)

The volume of water recharged in any year could vary from zero (in some years) to as much as 13,000 acre-feet (well in the future). The 13,000 acre-feet comes from the capacity of the connection (20 cfs). This could only happen in the future when our capacity in EBX has increased and when we have procured additional sources of water.

In the near term (the next 10-12 years), the most that we would expect to recharge would be 7,500 acre-feet per year. This would only occur in a year when we get 100% allocation from the state and there is additional Article 21 water available in that year. Or, alternatively, it could occur in a year when we get a high SWP allocation (80% or higher), plus Article 21 water, plus additional water that we would obtain in the future by purchase, transfer, or exchange.

It is likely that most of this water will go directly into storage accounts of Watermaster members who would purchase the water from the Agency. Any water available to the region that is not purchased by retail water districts would be purchased by the Agency and placed into the Agency's storage account, from where it would be transferred to a Watermaster member upon purchase.

14. IMPACTS TO OTHERS (Attachment F)

The impacts to others would only be positive. Storing more water in the ground than could otherwise be placed there will raise groundwater elevations, helping to preserve the basin and reducing pumping costs to appropriators and overlayers alike. It is anticipated that most or all water in the account will be transferred to an account of a Watermaster member within a short time.

Basin losses due to use of this proposed facility are anticipated to be minimal or nonexistent.

During the EIR we analyzed potential damage to any homes that might be constructed on adjacent land in the future and found that this would not occur.

15. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (Attachment G)

See attached EIR on CD, certified by the Agency Board of Directors on October 21, 2013. Also attached is Agency Resolution 2013-13, certifying the EIR.

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-13**A RESOLUTION OF THE SAN GORGONIO PASS WATER AGENCY CERTIFYING THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE BEAUMONT AVENUE RECHARGE FACILITY AND PIPELINE PROJECT; ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM; ADOPTING A STATEMENT OF PROJECT BENEFITS; AND APPROVING THE BEAUMONT AVENUE RECHARGE FACILITY AND PIPELINE PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency (the "Agency" or "SGPWA") is a state water contractor, that was formed with the purpose of importing water from the State Water Project ("SWP") into the San Gorgonio Pass area in 1961, the Agency's service area encompasses approximately 228 square miles and includes the Cities of Beaumont, Calimesa, and Banning, as well as the unincorporated areas of Cherry Valley, Cabazon, Poppet Flat, Banning Bench, and San Timoteo and Live Oak Canyons; and

WHEREAS, the most heavily developed portion of the Agency's service area, the Beaumont Basin, is currently experiencing an overdraft condition; and

WHEREAS, In 2003, Phase I of SWP's East Branch Extension ("EBX") was completed, bringing raw SWP water into SGPWA's service area; however, the capacity of Phase I allows for a maximum of approximately 12,000 acre feet per year ("AFY") of the Agency's existing SWP supply contract Table A amount (17,300 AFY); and

WHEREAS, In response to these conditions, the Agency proposes to construct a groundwater recharge facility on a vacant, undeveloped property in the City of Beaumont, California, to increase recharge capabilities with the delivery SWP water, as well as other supplemental water sources via a proposed pipeline and service connection facility and to enable the Agency to replenish the groundwater basin and provide water supply for the ongoing and projected needs of the Agency's service area (the "Project").

WHEREAS, pursuant to section 21067 of the Public Resources Code, and section 15367 of the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15000 et seq.), the Agency is the lead agency for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Agency solicited comments, including details about the scope and content of the environmental information, as well as potential feasible mitigation measures, from responsible agencies, trustee agencies, and the public, in a Notice of Preparation ("NOP") for the EIR for the Project, which was issued on November 13, 2012 and circulated for a period of 30 days pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines sections 15082, subdivision (a) and 15375; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's Statement of Project Benefits is attached hereto as Exhibit "B"; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program setting forth the mitigation measures to which the Agency shall bind itself in connection with the Project is attached hereto as Exhibit "C"; and

WHEREAS, the EIR reflects the independent judgment of the Agency and is fully adequate for purposes of making decisions on the merits of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has not received any comments or other information constituting substantial new information requiring recirculation of the EIR pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21092.1 and State CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5; and

WHEREAS, on October 21, 2013 the Agency conducted a duly noticed public meeting at which the Project was considered, at which time all persons wishing to testify were heard, and the Project was fully considered; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SAN GORGONIO PASS WATER AGENCY
DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1 – Consideration of EIR. The Agency finds that it has reviewed and considered the EIR (including the comment letters, responses to comments, and errata) in evaluating the Project's potential impacts; that the EIR has been completed in full compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and the Agency's local procedures for implementing CEQA; and that the EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency.

SECTION 2 - Recirculation. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby finds that no evidence of new significant impacts or any other "significant new information" as defined by State CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5 has been received by the Agency after circulation of the Draft EIR which would require recirculation.

SECTION 3 – CEQA Findings. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby adopts the written CEQA Findings attached hereto as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution.

SECTION 4 – Project Benefits. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby adopts the Statement of Project Benefits attached as Exhibit "B" to this Resolution.

SECTION 5 – Certification of EIR. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby certifies the EIR and finds that the implementation of the Project will not have any significant and unavoidable environmental effects. All potentially significant environmental impacts have been analyzed

in the EIR and will be mitigated to a level of less than significant. Additionally, the Board finds that a range of reasonable and potentially feasible alternatives to the Project were fully analyzed in the EIR, but are rejected in favor of the Project.


SECTION 6 - MMRP. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21081.6, the Agency adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan attached as Exhibit "C" to this Resolution. In the event of any inconsistencies between the mitigation measures as set forth in the EIR or the CEQA Findings in Exhibit A and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan, the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan shall control.

SECTION 7 – Project Approval. Based on the entire record before the Agency, all written and oral evidence presented, the CEQA Findings, the Statement of Project Benefits, and Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan, and all other evidence, the Agency hereby approves the Beaumont Avenue Recharge Facility and Pipeline Project.

SECTION 8 – Custodian of Record. The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which this Resolution has been based are located at the San Geronio Pass Water Agency, 1210 Beaumont Ave., Beaumont, CA 92223. The custodian for these records is Jeff Davis, General Manager. This information is provided in compliance with Public Resources Code section 21081.6.

SECTION 9 – Notice of Determination. Agency staff shall cause a Notice of Determination to be filed and posted with the Clerk of the County of Riverside and the State Clearinghouse within five (5) working days of Project approval.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 21st day of October, 2013.




President, Board of Directors
San Geronio Pass Water Agency

ATTEST:



Secretary, Board of Directors
San Geronio Pass Water Agency

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



General Counsel
San Geronio Pass Water Agency

16. TRANSFERS OF WATER FROM ONE STORAGE ACCOUNT TO ANOTHER

We anticipate that virtually all of the water that will be placed in this storage account will be transferred to storage accounts of Watermaster members via purchase of the water from the Agency.

17. CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY WATERMASTER RESOLUTION 2005-01

Watermaster Resolution 2005-01 establishes principles of groundwater storage in the Beaumont Basin by non-Appropriators. The Agency is a non-Appropriator.

Section 2 of this resolution identifies groundwater storage projects that are given a preference. The following addresses each of the various types of storage and how the Agency's proposed project relates to that type of storage.

- a. Increase the reliability of water supplies. The Agency's proposed project will increase the reliability of water supplies by both providing additional storage capacity in the Basin and by providing additional connected capacity to the State Water Project. More water would be able to be stored in wet years, thus increasing the reliability of available supplies.
- b. Reduce the cost of enhancing the reliability of water supplies. The Agency's proposed facility will be funded up front with general fund revenues, to be reimbursed later (80% of costs) with developer fees. The cost of the project is not borne by water ratepayers, but by new growth and by general fund tax revenues that will be spent on this project as opposed to other expenditures that do not enhance reliability. Thus, the overall cost of enhancing reliability will be reduced for water ratepayers.
- c. Is proposed by, or is conducted for the benefit of, ratepayers. This project is proposed by the Agency and will benefit any purveyor that has a storage account in the Beaumont Basin, along with the ratepayers of those purveyors. While proposed as a project that benefits the entire region, it would have the benefit of benefitting ratepayers as the region would get additional storage and enhanced reliability without the use of ratepayer funds.
- d. Financially benefit ratepayers. The Agency's proposed project does not directly benefit water ratepayers but indirectly benefits them as additional storage would be made available using funds that are not from water rates.
- e. Will not injure existing Overlying and Appropriative Water Rights. The proposed project would not injure any party as it does not draw water out of the basin but enables any local water purveyor to add to storage in the basin. All appropriators and overlayers should benefit from additional storage and from more reliability.
- f. Will not waste water. The proposed project is intended to prevent wasting water by enabling any party in the region, including any retail water purveyor or the Agency, to import all available water in wet years so that no State Water Project water gets left in Northern California to potentially be wasted in a future year.
- g. Will generate revenue to purchase rights to additional Supplemental Water and/or construct facilities for direct delivery of Supplemental Water or the percolation of Supplemental Water into the Beaumont Basin. The intent of the project is to enable the region to store more water, not necessarily to generate revenues.
- h. Will not impair future opportunities to store water in the Beaumont Basin. There is no reason that the proposed project would impair future opportunities to store water in the Beaumont Basin. If constructed, it would not prohibit any entity from constructing additional storage facilities, if needed. Studies indicate that it will not impact the ability of BCVWD to store water at its facility adjacent to the proposed site.

Section 3 of the resolution addresses types of storage projects, and states that the Watermaster will consider two types of storage programs:

- Projects which propose to rent Groundwater Storage Capacity in the Beaumont Basin—revenue generated thereby shall be used to fund capital facilities; and
- Projects which proposed the sale of Temporary Surplus—revenue generated thereby shall be used to purchase the rights to additional Supplemental Water supplies.

Agency staff has discussed this with Watermaster staff and it is not immediately clear to either what this section of the resolution is referring to. Agency staff has tried to determine if any other entity has addressed this issue in any previous application or related to construction of any facility, and has been unable to find a record of this.

Agency staff would be pleased to discuss this issue with the Watermaster so that this may be fully addressed as part of this application.

Attachment A

[illegible]

[illegible]

STATION	SAMPLE DATE	Perchlorate	MDA8	Ammonia	NO ₃ -N	Page
Minimum Reporting Limit		2	0.05	0.2	0.01	1
Units		ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-05	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	June-05		ND			
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-05	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-05			ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-05	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-06	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-06	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-06	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-06	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-07	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-07	ND	ND		ND	14
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-07	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-07	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-08	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-08	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-08	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-08	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-09	ND	0.05	ND	ND	8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-10	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-10	ND	ND		ND	14
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-10	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-11	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-11	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-11	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-12	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-13	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-13	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-13	ND			ND	
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-13	ND				
AVERAGE		ND	ND	ND	ND	12

Volatle Organic Compounds	2004-2013
Benzene	ND
Bromobenzene	ND
Bromochloromethane	ND
Bromodichloromethane	ND
Bromoform	ND
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	ND
sec-Butylbenzene	ND
n-Butylbenzene	ND
tert-Butylbenzene	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND
Chlorobenzene or monochlorobenzene	ND
Chlorodibromomethane*	ND
Chloroethane	ND
Chloroform	ND
Chloromethane or methyl chloride	ND
2-Chlorotoluene or o-Chlorotoluene	ND
4-Chlorotoluene or p-Chlorotoluene	ND
Dibromomethane	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o)	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p)	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane (FREON 12)	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND
1,3-Dichloropropene (or 1,3-Dichloropropylene)	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND
ETBE (Ethyl tertiary butyl ether)	ND
Ethylbenzene	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND
Isopropylbenzene	ND
p-Isopropyltoluene	ND
MEK (or 2-BUTANONE)	ND
Methylene Chloride	ND
MTBE	ND
Naphthalene	ND
Nitrobenzene	ND

n-Propylbenzene	ND
Styrene	ND
TAME (Tertiary amyl methyl ether)	ND
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND
Tetrachloroethene	ND
Toluene	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND
Trichloroethene (or trichloroethylene)	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (FREON 113)	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ND
Xylenes (single isomer or sum of isomers)	ND
m,p-xylene	ND
o-xylene	ND
Organochlorine Pesticides	
Alachlor	ND
Aldrin	ND
Chlordane	ND
Chlorothalonil	ND
Dieldrin	ND
Endrin	ND
Heptachlor	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND
Lindane	ND
Methoxychlor	ND
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	ND
Propachlor	ND
Toxaphene	ND
Fumigants	
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane)	ND
Organochlorine Herbicides	

Bentazon	ND
2,4-D	ND
Dalapon	ND
Dicamba	ND
Dinoseb	ND
Pentachlorophenol	ND
Picloram	ND
Silvex	ND
Carbamate Pesticides	
Diuron	ND
Aldicarb	ND
Aldicarb sulfone	ND
Aldicarb sulfoxide	ND
Baygon (aka Propoxur)	ND
Carbofuran	ND
Carbaryl	ND
3-hydroxycarbofuran	ND
Methomyl	ND
Oxamyl (Vydate)	ND
Miscellaneous	
Diquat	ND
Endothall	ND
Glyphosate	ND
2,3,7,8-TCDD Dioxin	ND
Nitrogen/Phosphorus Pesticides	
Atrazine	ND
Bromacil	ND
Butachlor	ND
Diazinon	ND
Dimethoate	ND
Malathion	ND
Metolachlor	ND
Metribuzin	ND
Molinate	ND
Prometryn	ND
Simazine	ND
Thiobencarb	ND

	GROSS ALPHA	GROSS BETA	RADIUM226	RADIUM228	COMBINED RADIUM	STRONTIUM90	TRITIUM	URANIUM	TOTAL RADON222
Minimum Reporting Limit	3	4	1	1	1	2	1000	1	100
Year Sampled									
2011	ND	4.4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND
2008	3.5	4.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.7	ND
2005	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Units - pCi/L
Average annual values

SIT/LOC	SAMPLE DATE	Perchlorate	MBAS	Asbestos	Cyanide	GBor
Minimum Reporting Limit		2	0.05	0.2	0.01	1
Units		ug/L	mg/L	mF/l	mg/L	
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-05	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	June-05		ND			
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-05	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-05			ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-05	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-06	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-06	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-06	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-06	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-07	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-07	ND	ND		ND	14
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-07	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-07	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-08	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-08	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-08	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-08	ND		ND		
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-09	ND	0.05	ND	ND	8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-09	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-10	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-10	ND	ND		ND	14
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-10	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-11	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-11	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-11	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-12	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-12	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	January-13	ND				
SILVERWOOD LAKE	April-13	ND	ND		ND	12
SILVERWOOD LAKE	July-13	ND			ND	
SILVERWOOD LAKE	October-13	ND				
AVERAGE		ND	ND	ND	ND	12

Volatile Organic Compounds	2004-2013
Benzene	ND
Bromobenzene	ND
Bromochloromethane	ND
Bromodichloromethane	ND
Bromoform	ND
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	ND
sec-Butylbenzene	ND
n-Butylbenzene	ND
tert-Butylbenzene	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND
Chlorobenzene or monochlorobenzene	ND
Chlorodibromomethane*	ND
Chloroethane	ND
Chloroform	ND
Chloromethane or methyl chloride	ND
2-Chlorotoluene or o-Chlorotoluene	ND
4-Chlorotoluene or p-Chlorotoluene	ND
Dibromomethane	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o)	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p)	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane (FREON 12)	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND
1,3-Dichloropropene (or 1,3-Dichloropropylene)	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND
ETBE (Ethyl tertiary butyl ether)	ND
Ethylbenzene	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND
Isopropylbenzene	ND
p-Isopropyltoluene	ND
MEK (or 2-BUTANONE)	ND
Methylene Chloride	ND
MTBE	ND
Naphthalene	ND
Nitrobenzene	ND

n-Propylbenzene	ND
Styrene	ND
TAME (Tertiary amyl methyl ether)	ND
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND
Tetrachloroethene	ND
Toluene	ND
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND
Trichloroethene (or trichloroethylene)	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (FREON 113)	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ND
Xylenes (single isomer or sum of isomers)	ND
m,p-xylene	ND
o-xylene	ND
Organochlorine Pesticides	
Aldrin	ND
Aldrin	ND
Chlordane	ND
Chlorothalonil	ND
Dieldrin	ND
Endrin	ND
Heptachlor	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND
Lindane	ND
Methoxychlor	ND
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	ND
Propechlor	ND
Toxaphene	ND
Fumigants	
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	ND
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane)	ND
Organochlorine Herbicides	

Bentazon	ND
2,4-D	ND
Dalapon	ND
Dicamba	ND
Dinoseb	ND
Pentachlorophenol	ND
Picloram	ND
Silvex	ND
Carbamate Pesticides	
Diuron	ND
Aldicarb	ND
Aldicarb sulfone	ND
Aldicarb sulfoxide	ND
Baygon (aka Propoxur)	ND
Carbofuran	ND
Carbaryl	ND
3-hydroxycarbofuran	ND
Methomyl	ND
Oxamyl (Vydate)	ND
Miscellaneous	
Diquat	ND
Endothal	ND
Glyphosate	ND
2,3,7,8-TCDD Dioxin	ND
Nitrogen/Phosphorus Pesticides	
Atrazine	ND
Bromacil	ND
Butachlor	ND
Diazinon	ND
Dimethoate	ND
Malathion	ND
Metolachlor	ND
Metribuzin	ND
Molinate	ND
Prometryn	ND
Simazine	ND
Thiobencarb	ND

Year	Units	Minimum Reporting Limit	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Average
Aluminum	ug/L	10	102	111	178	41	43	26	57	125	38	37	75
Antimony	ug/L	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Arsenic	ug/L	0.5	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.6	3.7	4.0	2.8	1.6	2.1	2.9	2.6
Barium	ug/L	5	34	37	28	36	39	34	30	26	30	36	33
Beryllium	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	ug/L	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.1
Chromium	ug/L	1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium-6	ug/L	0.03	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.24	0.06	0.1	0.18	0.2
Copper	ug/L	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	ug/L	50	75	119	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	88	ND	ND	ND
Lead	ug/L	1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lithium	ug/L	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	ug/L	5	14	17	19	22	18	24	16	16	24	24	19
Mercury	ug/L	0.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Molybdenum	ug/L	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.0	2.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Nickel	ug/L	2	ND	2.5	2.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Selenium	ug/L	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Silver	ug/L	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Strontium	ug/L	20	201	219	163	223	294	248	194	151	186	258	214
Thallium	ug/L	1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vanadium	ug/L	1	5.0	4.2	3.2	4.5	7.2	6.7	4.4	3	3.3	4.8	4.6
Zinc	ug/L	20	ND	ND	21.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Average Annual values

LOCATION	REPORT DATE	BICARBONATE mg/L	BORON mg/L	BROMIDE mg/L	CALCIUM mg/L	CARBONATE mg/L	CHLORIDE mg/L	COLOR mg/L	FLUORIDE mg/L	FREE_CO2 mg/L
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-13	95		0.33	22	0	100		0.1	2.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-13	89		0.35	19	0	107		0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-13	93		0.3	19	0	92	10	0.1	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-13	85		0.21	20	6	68		0.1	0.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-13	84		0.2	23	10	66		0.1	0.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-13	100		0.23	25	4	73	7	0.1	0.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-13	105		0.23	27	2	71		0.1	0.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-13	111		0.22	28	0	68		0.1	0.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-13	110		0.23	28	0	75	12	0.1	0.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-13	102		0.22	28	0	77		0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-13	93		0.27	23	0	84		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-13	90		0.3	18	0	91	7	0	1.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-12	90		0.3	17	0	94		0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-12	92		0.37	17	0	110		0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-12	81		0.25	15	0	76	7	0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-12	79		0.16	16	0	56		0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-12	88		0.16	18	0	55		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-12	95		0.2	22	0	64	7	0	0.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-12	96		0.2	22	0	66		0	0.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-12	102		0.22	26	1	72		0.1	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-12	94		0.25	21	0	80	8	0	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-12	95		0.26	21	0	86		0	0.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-12	90		0.19	19	0	62		0	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-12	78		0.11	17	0	37	7	0	2.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-11	73		0.08	15	0	25		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-11	79		0.07	14	0	23		0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-11	73		0.08	14		26	7	0	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-11	70		0.1	14	0	33		0	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-11	57		0.07	13	0	26		0	1.1

SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-11	57		0.08	13	0	28	7	0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-11	59		0.07	13	0	24		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-11	63		0.06	14	0	27		0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-11	67		0.1	16	0	32	12	0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-11	67		0.08	16	0	31		0	0.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-11	66		0.1	15	0	38		0	2.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-11	76		0.2	16	0	65	15	0	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-10	87		0.22	18	0	78		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-10	89		0.28	18	0	91	8	0	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-10	83	0.1	0.26	17	0	83		0	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-10	82		0.15	17	0	51		0	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-10	90		0.17	20	0	59		0	0.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-10	90		0.19	21	0	62	11	0.1	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-10	88		0.14	22	0	51		0.1	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-10	88		0.15	23	0	49		0.1	2.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-10	85		0.18	22	0	51	6	0.1	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-10	83		0.19	21	0	54		0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-10	82		0.22	21	0	64		0.1	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-10	82		0.24	20	0	69	7	0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-09	83		0.26	21	0	74		0.1	1.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-09	93		0.32	21	0	92		0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-09	83	0.11	0.28	17	0	87	7	0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-09	81		0.23	17	0	75		0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-09	94		0.21	22	1	66		0.1	0.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-09	105		0.28	26	0	91	8	0.1	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-09	101		0.27	27	0	74		0.2	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-09	99		0.27	27	0	75		0.1	0.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-09	98	0.16	0.26	26	1	72	6	0.2	0.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-09	99		0.26	26	0	72		0.1	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-09	100		0.28	26	0	78		0.2	3.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-09	100		0.26	26	0	77	5	0.1	2.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-08	100		0.28	25	0	78		0.1	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-08	99		0.26	24	0	78		0.1	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-08	99	0.15	0.24	25	0	75	10	0.1	1.1

SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-08	96		0.22	24	2	72		0.1	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-08	102		0.24	24	0	76		0.1	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-08	110	0.17	0.26	25	0	79	7	0.1	0.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-08	105		0.24	26	0	76		0.1	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-08	105		0.22	26	0	71	13	0.1	0.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-08	100	0.19	0.22	27	0	68		0.1	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-08	95		0.25	25	0	73		0.1	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-08	85		0.22	22	0	66		0.1	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-08	89	0.12	0.27	22	0	78	10	0.13	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-07	93		0.29	24	0	82		0.13	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-07	93		0.31	22	0	87		0.12	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-07	88	0.11	0.33	20	0	92	9	0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-07	83		0.22	18	0	65		0	0.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-07	89		0.16	20	0	50		0.1	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-07	100	0.15	0.21	23	0	66	5	0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-07	100		0.2	22	0	63		0	0.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-07	96		0.18	22	0	59		0.1	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-07	99	0.19	0.21	23	0	70	13	0.1	1.8
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-07	98		0.27	22	0	86		0.11	2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-07	94		0.31	21	0	95		0	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-07	93	0.14	0.22	20	0	67	13	0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-06	79		0.12	15	0	38		0	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-06	83		0.1	15	0	31		0	6.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-06	81	0.09	0.12	15	0	38	7	0	4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-06	78		0.14	15	0	42		0	
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-06	72		0.13	15	0	41		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-06	62	0.1	0.1	13	0	32	12	0	2.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-06	60		0.07	13	0	25		0.12	1.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-06	61		0.08	14	0	29		0	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-06	66	0.15	0.1	16	0	37	15	0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-06	67		0.13	17	0	44		0	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-06	68		0.18	16	0	60		0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-06	90	0.14	0.28	19	0	88	15	0	2.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-05	87		0.2	17	0	63		0	1.4

SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-05	88		0.17	16	0	55		0	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-05	88	0.12	0.15	18	0	54	12	0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-05	85		0.14	17	0	44		0	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-05	76		0.11	17	0	37		0	1.1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-05	73	0.14	0.12	17	0	43	13	0	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-05	90		0.17	21	0	61		0	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-05	86		0.12	21	0	49		0	2.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-05	102	0.22	0.14	25	0	56	29	0.1	1.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-05	98		0.15	23	0	55		0.1	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-05	92		0.23	21	0	71		0.11	1.6
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-05	96	0.15	0.22	21	0	69	28	0.12	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Dec-04	95		0.25	22	0	76		0.12	1.5
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Nov-04	93		0.25	20	0	75	12	0.12	1.9
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Oct-04	92	0.12	0.21	19	0	64		0.1	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Sep-04	87		0.17	17	0	55		0.1	1.4
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Aug-04	93		0.19	19	0	59	12	0	1.3
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jul-04	100	0.16	0.21	21	0	67		0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jun-04	99		0.21	22	0	67		0	1
SILVERWOOD LAKE	May-04	93		0.14	21	0	50	13	0	1.2
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Apr-04	93	0.18	0.12	22	0	46		0	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Mar-04	96		0.18	22	0	59		0	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Feb-04	96		0.29	22	0	90	12	0.1	1.7
SILVERWOOD LAKE	Jan-04	98	0.15	0.31	21	0	95		0	1.6
Average		88	0.14	0.20	20	0.23	64	11	0.05	1.39

MAGNESIUM mg/L	NITRATE mg/L	PHENOL_ALKA LUNITY_AS_CA CO3	POTASSIUM mg/L	SILICA mg/L	SODIUM mg/L	SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE µmho/cm	SULFATE mg/L	TFR_180C (Total Filterable Residue) mg/L	TOC (Total Organic Carbon) mg/L	TEMPERATURE °C
11	1.6	0	2.8	8.6	69	579	39	306	2.53	14
13	0.6	0	3.1	8.4	70	576	33	301	2.63	16
12	0.2	0	3	9.4	63	515	29	283	2.9	20
11	0.4	5	2.5	12.7	52	463	34	253	3.04	24
11	0.2	8	2.6	13.6	53	474	42	268	3.55	23
12	1.3	3	2.7	11.6	58	521	50	293	3.36	23
12	2.2	2	2.6	9.8	57	524	52	291	3.58	20
12	3.5	0	2.6	10.1	56	522	55	297	3.64	17
12	4.4	0	2.8	12	58	562	56	308	3.6	13
12	4.7	0	2.9	12.5	59	542	53	287	3.7	11
12	3.7	0	2.9	13.6	60	506	38	287	3.04	10
13	2.6	0	3	11.2	61	516	29	270	2.46	9
13	1.5	0	3	10.5	61	515	25	280	2.46	14
14	0.7	0	3.3	11.4	67	569	27	302	2.44	18
11	0.4	0	2.5	10	49	434	19	230	2.62	23
10	0.7	0	2.2	11.1	39	342	19	203	2.7	24
10	1.3	0	2.4	11.8	40	368	30	218	3.02	24
11	2.1	0	2.5	13.2	48	456	39	256	3.14	22
11	2.2	0	2.6	11.5	51	482	43	264	3.34	20
11	3.1	1	2.6	11.1	56	519	48	291	3.68	17
12	2.2	0	2.9	9.8	56	498	37	269	3.08	12
13	2.4	0	3.1	9.8	60	529	38	281	3.1	10
11	2.3	0	2.5	10	45	404	33	231	2.98	9
9	2.4	0	1.9	11	31	316	26	180	2.68	9
7	1.9	0	1.5	10.1	23	240	18	138	2.45	11
8	1.3	0	1.5	12.6	21	238	14	140	2.66	19
7	1.1	0	1.6	12	23	241	15	138	2.72	23
8	1.3	0	1.8	11.5	27	266	19	157	2.82	24
6	1.7	0	1.7	11.8	22	228	18	138	2.98	25

6	2	0	1.7	10.5	23	243	22	139	2.76	24
6	0.9	0	1.8	9.4	22	215	17	128	3.37	18
7	1.5	0	2.1	9.3	25	254	26	150	4.14	16
8	2.6	0	1.9	9.9	28	287	31	170	3.98	13
8	2.3		2.1	10.8	27	275	26		4.2	10
8	3.2		2.5	12.7	29	290	25		4.22	10
10	2.9		2.6	12.3	45	403	23		2.92	9
12	2.3		2.8	11.2	55	470	25		2.46	12
13	1.6		3	11.9	60	510	24		2.53	18
12	0.6		2.6	10.2	55	472	22		2.51	22
10	0.8		2.3	10.5	38	358	23		3.04	23
12	2.1		2.4	13.2	45	415	35		3.26	24
11	2.5		2.6	12.1	49	449	40		3.72	22
9	2.7		2.3	11.4	45	420	45		3.47	18
9	3.6		2.5	11.9	46	420	46		3.75	16
8	4		2.2	11.4	44	392	35		2.44	11
8	3.6		2.2	12.3	45	404	32		2.6	10
8	3.3		2.3	11.3	51	424	33		2.46	9
9	3.3		2.4	11	55	465	33		1.95	10
10	2.9		2.5	11.7	56	478	35		2.22	12
12	2		2.9	10.8	65	531	35		2.38	17
12	0.9		2.8	9.7	59	496	25		2.53	19
11	0.6		2.7	10.8	53	438	25		2.78	23
12	1.8		2.7	13.8	50	464	37		3.58	24
13	2.7		3.1	12	69	583	55		3.45	22
9	2.4		2.4	6.9	62	540	52		2.76	17
9	2.4		2.5	6.1	64	533	48		2.64	14
8	2.6		2.3	6.2	61	505	45		2.35	12
9	2.5		2.3	8.1	60	498	43		2.48	13
9	3.5		2.3	10.6	63	527	46		2.04	9
8	3.2		2.4	10.6	64	526	46		2.13	9
9	3		2.4	10.6	63	530	48		2.09	15
10	2.5		2.5	12.1	63	508	47		2.34	17
10	2		2.6	15	57	511	43		2.62	21

11	1.6	2.8	15.1	55	497	40	3.01	24
12	2.9	3	14.9	55	519	42	2.96	24
12	3.1	3	14	58	519	41	2.96	23
11	3.4	2.8	11.4	57	525	45	3.18	18
11	4.2	2.8	11.6	56	527	49	3.53	17
10	5.6	2.8	12.6	57	507	48	3.12	14
9	4.9	2.5	12.4	58	501	43	2.24	10
8	4.6	2.4	13.7	52	454	36	2.63	8
8	4	2.5	12.4	60	499	39	1.88	9
9	3.7	2.7	12.5	61	516	37	1.56	14
10	2.8	2.5	11.6	63	533	33	2.07	17
11	1.6	3	11.2	61	515	28	2.29	20
10	1.3	2.5	13.3	45	395	23	2.72	25
9	2.2	2.4	12.6	39	358	27	2.66	24
11	2.1	2.7	14.2	50	460	35	2.75	23
12	2	2.6	12	50	446	35	2.98	21
12	3.4	2.3	12.4	46	447	39	3.48	17
12	4.4	2.9	14.9	53	497	45	4.28	15
14	4.4	3.2	14.5	58	539	44	3.96	11
15	4	3.4	14.9	62	560	39	3.51	8
12	3.5	2.7	13	48	450	34	2.76	10
9	2.7	2.1	12.6	30	306	22	2.63	13
9	2.1	1.8	12.6	27	275	18	2.46	18
9	1.4	2	12.4	31	308	21	2.74	21
9	1.6	2.2	12.4	33	322	23	2.86	25
9	1.5	2.1	11.9	32	313	24	3.12	24
7	1.5	1.9	11.2	26	260	20	3.3	25
6	1.3	3.3	10.7	22	231	17	3.26	22
7	1.9	2.2	7.7	27	267	30	3.61	16
8	2.8	1.8	8.9	31	309	34	7.95	13
9	3.5	2.2	10.2	36	349	37	5.49	10
10	3.6	2.7	9.4	41	386	30	3.76	11
13	3.4	3.3	12.1	59	517	33	5.77	11
11	2.8	4	11.4	45	417	25	3.82	13

10.5	2		2.4	11.4	39	375	22	2.77	17
11	1.4		2.5	11.5	39	375	24	4.73	21
10	1.9		2.3	13.6	33	338	26	3.31	23
9	2.2		2.1	13.1	30	319	26	3.5	27
9	2.8		2.4	13.7	34	341	30	3.88	25
12	3.2		2.8	11.8	47	449	43	4.24	21
11.5	4		2.5	11	42	424	49	4.86	17
13.5	5.8		3.1	13.8	46	476	54	5.94	14
12.5	6.3		3.3	15.5	44	447	43	6.18	12
12	5.6		3.2	13.8	50	470	33	4.42	9
12	5.4		3	13.2	50	473	34	4.25	10
11.5	3.6		3	11.8	58	489	34	2.92	12
11	2.8		2.8	11	54	463	29	3.04	16
10.5	1.7		2.5	11.8	48	423	26	2.9	22
10	1.5		2.3	13	40	374	22	3.12	24
11.5	2.1		2.6	14.4	46	412	29	3.3	24
13	2.8		2.8	14.5	50	477	38	3.75	24
13.5	2.7		3.1	13.5	50	469	39	3.64	21
12	3.2		2.4	12.3	40	403	39	3.95	19
12	4.6		2.6	14	38	401	41	5.11	17
13	4.4		2.6	14.5	45	449	38	5.09	10
14.5	4.6		3.5	14.1	62	553	42	4.07	9
14	2.6		3.4	10.7	64	554	37	3.31	9
10	2.60	0.58	2.6	11.7	48	435	34	3.24	17

TOTAL_ALKALINITY_ AS_CACO3	TOTAL DISS _SOLIDS	TOTAL_HARDNESS_ AS_CACO3	TURBIDITY	PH
mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	NTU	
78	302	104	0.56	7.88
73	299	100	0.75	8.02
76	274	98	1.1	8.37
80	249	99	1.1	8.88
85	264	106	2.1	8.99
88	288	116	0.88	8.57
90	288	117	0.62	8.46
91	291	118	0.73	8.36
90	303	120	0.65	8.3
84	300	120	0.72	8.17
76	284	108	0.63	8.15
74	274	102	1	7.93
74	270	98	0.78	7.99
75	296	102	0.82	8.01
66	223	84	1.1	7.95
65	194	78	1.1	7.98
72	213	89	1.1	8.13
78	249	101	1.1	8.25
79	257	105	0.92	8.28
86	282	111	1.3	8.38
77	268	104	1.1	8.35
78	281	110	0.88	8.25
74	230	97	0.97	8.31
64	174	81	0.82	7.79
60	138	67	1.3	8.04
65	135	67	1.6	7.95
60	136	66	1.4	7.94
57	151	69	1.7	7.95
47	129	57	3.4	7.95

47	135	57	4.8	7.8
48	124	53	2.4	7.95
52	143	63	3.6	7.96
55	163	69	2	7.98
55	157	68	1.9	8.25
54	166	67	5.8	7.64
62	215	83	2.8	7.89
71	248	94	0.84	8.13
73	267	98	1.6	7.93
68	244	92	1.1	8.13
67	193	82	0.86	8.14
74	234	94	1.4	8.22
74	245	98	1.9	8.13
72	232	93	2.6	7.95
72	235	93	1.6	7.81
70	220	86	1.2	7.95
68	220	84	1.9	8
67	235	87	2.4	8.12
67	244	89	0.81	7.99
68	255	90	0.76	7.87
76	287	100	0.74	8.12
68	255	91	1	8.05
66	235	91	0.83	8.05
79	254	103	1.6	8.46
86	324	117	1	8.24
83	287	106	1.6	8.12
81	284	104	1.4	8.24
82	273	102	1.6	8.71
81	272	100	1.02	8.05
82	289	102	0.44	7.68
82	287	100	0.79	7.88
82	289	106	0.73	7.99
81	289	104	0.71	8.05
81	279	106	1.2	8.19

83	272	108	2	8.41
84	281	110	1	8.13
90	290	112	1.2	8.36
86	285	112	1.1	8.24
86	284	116	0.83	8.34
82	281	110	0.79	8.21
78	275	100	1.4	8.09
70	248	90	2.9	7.93
73	271	92	3.6	8.09
76	278	98	1	8.02
76	278	100	1	7.98
72	272	97	0.95	8.02
68	220	86	1	8.29
73	207	88	1.5	8.05
82	254	106	2.6	8.14
82	249	103	1.1	8.34
79	244	107	1.3	8.16
81	275	112	1	7.95
80	295	114	1.7	7.9
77	301	112	1.2	8.06
76	247	100	0.7	8.13
65	171	78	1.1	7.95
68	158	75	0.97	7.3
66	170	76	0.95	7.53
64	177	82	1.9	
59	173	74	1.8	8.02
51	144	64	3.8	7.7
49	128	56	4.8	7.71
50	149	67	1.4	7.8
54	173	75	1.2	7.97
55	193	80	2.2	7.91
56	207	82	2.8	7.98
74	276	101	26	7.84
71	223	88	0.97	8.02

72	202	83	1.1	7.97
72	205	90	1.2	8.12
70	191	84	1.6	8.01
62	174	80	2.6	8.04
60	189	80	3.3	7.94
74	247	102	4.7	7.95
71	233	100	2.5	7.81
84	268	118	4.6	7.95
80	252	109	5.2	8
75	255	102	6.2	7.98
79	256	102	12	8.04
78	268	102	1.5	8.01
76	252	95	5.3	7.92
75	229	91	0.86	8.09
71	204	84	1.2	8.01
76	230	95	2.3	8.07
82	259	106	3.3	8.14
81	260	111	1.3	8.22
76	226	102	2.1	8.12
76	227	104	7.1	7.97
79	247	108	6.5	7.98
79	301	115	2.1	7.97
80	297	110	4.2	8.02
73	238	94	2.10	8.07

Attachment B

1. BCVWD Well #22. Located on Oak Valley Parkway just east of 960.
Past 5 year water quality available
Marginal water level data.
1 mile southeast of parcel.
2. BCVP&RD Irrigation well. Located at 390 W. Oak Valley Parkway
No water quality available.
Very good water level data
.6-.7 mile southwest of parcel.
3. Oak Valley Golf Course abandoned wellsite.
No water quality available.
Marginal water level data.
1 mile southwest of parcel.
4. BCVWD Well #24. Located on Brookside Ave. just east of Union St.
Past 5 year water quality available
Marginal water level data.
1.3-1.4 mile west of parcel.
5. Michael Joesph well. Located at 11020 Union St.
No water quality available
Marginal water level data
1.3-1.4 mile west of parcel.
6. Michelle Delph well. Located at 11133 Union St.
No water quality available.
Very good water level data.
1.4-1.5 mile west of parcel.



Attachment C

Attachment D

Historical Water Quality Data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
1						TDS						Nitrate				
2																
3				2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
4	BOYD Well #22	East of 960 Oak Valley Parkway	1 mile SE of parcel		2009	2009		210'		2.6	3.1		3.4	5.1	3.4	3.7
5																
6	BOYD Well #23	390 W. Oak Valley Parkway	0.6 miles SW of parcel													
7																
8	Oak Valley Golf Course Abandoned Well		1 mile SW of parcel													
9																
10	BOYD Well #24	Brookside Avenue east of Union St.	1.3 miles W of parcel							4.5	5.3		6.4	7	6.4	6.4
11																
12	Michael Joseph Well	11020 Union Street	1.3 miles W of parcel													
13																
14	Michelle DePitt Well	11133 Union Street	1.4 miles W of parcel						200'							8.2'

Attachment E

13. WATER QUANTITY (Attachment E)

The volume of water recharged in any year could vary from zero (in some years) to as much as 13,000 acre-feet (well in the future). The 13,000 acre-feet comes from the capacity of the connection (20 cfs). This could only happen in the future when our capacity in EBX has increased and when we have procured additional sources of water.

In the near term (the next 10-12 years), the most that we would expect to recharge would be 7,500 acre-feet per year. This would only occur in a year when we get 100% allocation from the state and there is additional Article 21 water available in that year. Or, alternatively, it could occur in a year when we get a high SWP allocation (80% or higher), plus Article 21 water, plus additional water that we would obtain in the future by purchase, transfer, or exchange.

It is likely that most of this water will go directly into storage accounts of Watermaster members who would purchase the water from the Agency. Any water available to the region that is not purchased by retail water districts would be purchased by the Agency and placed into the Agency's storage account, from where it would be transferred to a Watermaster member upon purchase.

Attachment F

14. IMPACTS TO OTHERS (Attachment F)

The impacts to others would only be positive. Storing more water in the ground than could otherwise be placed there will raise groundwater elevations, helping to preserve the basin and reducing pumping costs to appropriators and overlies alike. It is anticipated that most or all water in the account will be transferred to an account of a Watermaster member within a short time.

Basin losses due to use of this proposed facility are anticipated to be minimal or nonexistent.

During the EIR we analyzed potential damage to any homes that might be constructed on adjacent land in the future and found that this would not occur.

Attachment G

15. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (Attachment G)

See attached EIR on CD, certified by the Agency Board of Directors on October 21, 2013. Also attached is Agency Resolution 2013-13, certifying the EIR.

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-13**A RESOLUTION OF THE SAN GORGONIO PASS WATER AGENCY CERTIFYING THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE BEAUMONT AVENUE RECHARGE FACILITY AND PIPELINE PROJECT; ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM; ADOPTING A STATEMENT OF PROJECT BENEFITS; AND APPROVING THE BEAUMONT AVENUE RECHARGE FACILITY AND PIPELINE PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency (the "Agency" or "SGPWA") is a state water contractor, that was formed with the purpose of importing water from the State Water Project ("SWP") into the San Gorgonio Pass area in 1961, the Agency's service area encompasses approximately 228 square miles and includes the Cities of Beaumont, Calimesa, and Banning, as well as the unincorporated areas of Cherry Valley, Cabazon, Poppet Flat, Banning Bench, and San Timoteo and Live Oak Canyons; and

WHEREAS, the most heavily developed portion of the Agency's service area, the Beaumont Basin, is currently experiencing an overdraft condition; and

WHEREAS, In 2003, Phase I of SWP's East Branch Extension ("EBX") was completed, bringing raw SWP water into SGPWA's service area; however, the capacity of Phase I allows for a maximum of approximately 12,000 acre feet per year ("AFY") of the Agency's existing SWP supply contract Table A amount (17,300 AFY); and

WHEREAS, In response to these conditions, the Agency proposes to construct a groundwater recharge facility on a vacant, undeveloped property in the City of Beaumont, California, to increase recharge capabilities with the delivery SWP water, as well as other supplemental water sources via a proposed pipeline and service connection facility and to enable the Agency to replenish the groundwater basin and provide water supply for the ongoing and projected needs of the Agency's service area (the "Project").

WHEREAS, pursuant to section 21067 of the Public Resources Code, and section 15367 of the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15000 et seq.), the Agency is the lead agency for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Agency solicited comments, including details about the scope and content of the environmental information, as well as potential feasible mitigation measures, from responsible agencies, trustee agencies, and the public, in a Notice of Preparation ("NOP") for the EIR for the Project, which was issued on November 13, 2012 and circulated for a period of 30 days pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines sections 15082, subdivision (a) and 15375; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's Statement of Project Benefits is attached hereto as Exhibit "B"; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program setting forth the mitigation measures to which the Agency shall bind itself in connection with the Project is attached hereto as Exhibit "C"; and

WHEREAS, the EIR reflects the independent judgment of the Agency and is fully adequate for purposes of making decisions on the merits of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has not received any comments or other information constituting substantial new information requiring recirculation of the EIR pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21092.1 and State CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5; and

WHEREAS, on October 21, 2013 the Agency conducted a duly noticed public meeting at which the Project was considered, at which time all persons wishing to testify were heard, and the Project was fully considered; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SAN GORGONIO PASS WATER AGENCY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1 – Consideration of EIR. The Agency finds that it has reviewed and considered the EIR (including the comment letters, responses to comments, and errata) in evaluating the Project's potential impacts; that the EIR has been completed in full compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and the Agency's local procedures for implementing CEQA; and that the EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency.

SECTION 2 – Recirculation. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby finds that no evidence of new significant impacts or any other "significant new information" as defined by State CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5 has been received by the Agency after circulation of the Draft EIR which would require recirculation.

SECTION 3 – CEQA Findings. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby adopts the written CEQA Findings attached hereto as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution.

SECTION 4 – Project Benefits. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby adopts the Statement of Project Benefits attached as Exhibit "B" to this Resolution.

SECTION 5 – Certification of EIR. Based on the entire record before the Agency, including all written and oral evidence presented, the Agency hereby certifies the EIR and finds that the implementation of the Project will not have any significant and unavoidable environmental effects. All potentially significant environmental impacts have been analyzed

in the EIR and will be mitigated to a level of less than significant. Additionally, the Board finds that a range of reasonable and potentially feasible alternatives to the Project were fully analyzed in the EIR, but are rejected in favor of the Project.


SECTION 6 - MMRP. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21081.6, the Agency adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan attached as Exhibit "C" to this Resolution. In the event of any inconsistencies between the mitigation measures as set forth in the EIR or the CEQA Findings in Exhibit A and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan, the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan shall control.

SECTION 7 - Project Approval. Based on the entire record before the Agency, all written and oral evidence presented, the CEQA Findings, the Statement of Project Benefits, and Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan, and all other evidence, the Agency hereby approves the Beaumont Avenue Recharge Facility and Pipeline Project.

SECTION 8 - Custodian of Record. The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which this Resolution has been based are located at the San Geronimo Pass Water Agency, 1210 Beaumont Ave., Beaumont, CA 92223. The custodian for these records is Jeff Davis, General Manager. This information is provided in compliance with Public Resources Code section 21081.6.


SECTION 9 - Notice of Determination. Agency staff shall cause a Notice of Determination to be filed and posted with the Clerk of the County of Riverside and the State Clearinghouse within five (5) working days of Project approval.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 21st day of October, 2013.




President, Board of Directors
San Geronimo Pass Water Agency

ATTEST:



Secretary, Board of Directors
San Geronimo Pass Water Agency

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



General Counsel
San Geronimo Pass Water Agency

Attachment H

3/29/05

**RESOLUTION NO. 2005-01
A RESOLUTION OF THE BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER
ESTABLISHING PRINCIPLES OF GROUNDWATER STORAGE IN THE
BEAUMONT BASIN BY NON-APPROPRIATORS**

WHEREAS, there exists in the Beaumont Basin a substantial amount of available groundwater storage capacity; and

WHEREAS, such capacity can be reasonably used for storing supplemental water; and

WHEREAS, the Watermaster desires to establish by this Resolution certain fundamental principles governing the future use of such capacity by non-Appropriators.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Beaumont Basin Watermaster hereby resolves as follows:

Section 1. Definitions

As used herein, these terms shall have the following definitions:

- a. **Groundwater Storage Agreement:** a standard form of written agreement between the Watermaster and any Person requesting the storage of Supplemental Water.
- b. **Groundwater Storage Capacity:** the space available in the Beaumont Basin that is not utilized for storage or regulation of Safe Yield and is reasonably available for Stored Water and Conjunctive Use.
- c. **Person:** any non-appropriator individual, partnership, association, corporation, governmental entity or agency, or other organization.
- d. **Storage Program:** Supplemental Water stored in the Beaumont Basin for later use, or the sale of Temporary Surplus.
- e. **Stored Water:** Supplemental Water stored in the Beaumont Basin pursuant to a Groundwater Storage Agreement with the Watermaster.
- f. **Supplemental Water:** water imported into the Beaumont Basin from outside the Beaumont Basin including, without limitation, water diverted from creeks upstream and tributary to the Beaumont Basin and water which is recycled and useable within the Beaumont Basin.
- g. **Temporary Surplus:** the amount of groundwater that can be pumped annually in excess of the Safe Yield of the Beaumont Basin necessary to create enough additional storage capacity to prevent the waste of water.

3/29/05

Section 2. Preferred Groundwater Storage Projects

Preference shall be given to groundwater storage projects that:

- a. Increase the reliability of water supplies;
- b. Reduce the cost of enhancing the reliability of water supplies;
- c. Is proposed by, or is conducted for the benefit of, ratepayers;
- d. Financially benefit ratepayers;
- e. Will not injure existing Overlying and Appropriative Water Rights;
- f. Will not waste water;
- g. Will generate revenue to purchase rights to additional Supplemental Water and/or construct facilities for direct delivery of Supplemental Water or the percolation of Supplemental Water into the Beaumont Basin; and
- h. Will not impair future opportunities to store water in the Beaumont Basin.

Section 3. Types of Groundwater Storage Programs

The Watermaster shall consider two types of Storage Programs:

- a. Projects which propose to rent Groundwater Storage Capacity in the Beaumont Basin: revenue generated thereby shall be used to fund capital facilities; and
- b. Projects which propose the sale of Temporary Surplus: revenue generated thereby shall be used to purchase the rights to additional Supplemental Water supplies.

Section 4. Groundwater Storage Agreement

In order to prevent injury to existing water rights, to prevent the waste of water, and to protect the use of Supplemental Water in storage and the Safe Yield of the Beaumont Basin, no Person may make reasonable beneficial use of the Groundwater Storage Capacity except pursuant to a written Groundwater Storage Agreement with the Watermaster. Without limitation, such Agreements shall include:

- a. The payment of administrative and storage fees to the Watermaster;
- b. The payment of fees for the use of Temporary Surplus;
- c. Accounting for Supplemental Water losses while in storage;
- d. Term limit:

- e. Reasonable limitations on the rates of storage and recovery of Stored Water;
- f. Protection of water quality in the Beaumont Basin.

MOVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED this 12th day of April, 2005, upon the following vote:

City of Banning: Yes
City of Beaumont: Absent
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District: Yes
South Mesa Mutual Water Company: Yes
Yucaipa Valley Water District: Yes

Dated: April 12, 2005

BEAUMONT BASIN WATERMASTER

By /s/ George Jorritsma
Chair

17. CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY WATERMASTER RESOLUTION 2005-01

Watermaster Resolution 2005-01 establishes principles of groundwater storage in the Beaumont Basin by non-Appropriators. The Agency is a non-Appropriator.

Section 2 of this resolution identifies groundwater storage projects that are given a preference. The following addresses each of the various types of storage and how the Agency's proposed project relates to that type of storage.

- a. Increase the reliability of water supplies. The Agency's proposed project will increase the reliability of water supplies by both providing additional storage capacity in the Basin and by providing additional connected capacity to the State Water Project. More water would be able to be stored in wet years, thus increasing the reliability of available supplies.
- b. Reduce the cost of enhancing the reliability of water supplies. The Agency's proposed facility will be funded up front with general fund revenues, to be reimbursed later (80% of costs) with developer fees. The cost of the project is not borne by water ratepayers, but by new growth and by general fund tax revenues that will be spent on this project as opposed to other expenditures that do not enhance reliability. Thus, the overall cost of enhancing reliability will be reduced for water ratepayers.
- c. Is proposed by, or is conducted for the benefit of, ratepayers. This project is proposed by the Agency and will benefit any purveyor that has a storage account in the Beaumont Basin, along with the ratepayers of those purveyors. While proposed as a project that benefits the entire region, it would have the benefit of benefitting ratepayers as the region would get additional storage and enhanced reliability without the use of ratepayer funds.
- d. Financially benefit ratepayers. The Agency's proposed project does not directly benefit water ratepayers but indirectly benefits them as additional storage would be made available using funds that are not from water rates.
- e. Will not injure existing Overlying and Appropriative Water Rights. The proposed project would not injure any party as it does not draw water out of the basin but enables any local water purveyor to add to storage in the basin. All appropriators and overlayers should benefit from additional storage and from more reliability.
- f. Will not waste water. The proposed project is intended to prevent wasting water by enabling any party in the region, including any retail water purveyor or the Agency, to import all available water in wet years so that no State Water Project water gets left in Northern California to potentially be wasted in a future year.
- g. Will generate revenue to purchase rights to additional Supplemental Water and/or construct facilities for direct delivery of Supplemental Water or the percolation of Supplemental Water into the Beaumont Basin. The intent of the project is to enable the region to store more water, not necessarily to generate revenues.
- h. Will not impair future opportunities to store water in the Beaumont Basin. There is no reason that the proposed project would impair future opportunities to store water in the Beaumont Basin. If constructed, it would not prohibit any entity from constructing additional storage facilities, if needed. Studies indicate that it will not impact the ability of BCVWD to store water at its facility adjacent to the proposed site.

Section 3 of the resolution addresses types of storage projects, and states that the Watermaster will consider two types of storage programs:

- Projects which propose to rent Groundwater Storage Capacity in the Beaumont Basin—revenue generated thereby shall be used to fund capital facilities; and
- Projects which proposed the sale of Temporary Surplus—revenue generated thereby shall be used to purchase the rights to additional Supplemental Water supplies.

Agency staff has discussed this with Watermaster staff and it is not immediately clear to either what this section of the resolution is referring to. Agency staff has tried to determine if any other entity has addressed this issue in any previous application or related to construction of any facility, and has been unable to find a record of this.

Agency staff would be pleased to discuss this issue with the Watermaster so that this may be fully addressed as part of this application.